

ALASKA CRIMINAL RECORDS AUDIT

Reporting Compliance & Data Quality

Criminal Cases Referred for Prosecution in January 2005

What is the probability of finding complete, accurate information about a charge initiated during the audit period (by Judicial District)?

2nd Judicial District

...on a Fingerprint Card	64.0%
...in a Disposition Report	86.0%
<i>prosecutor declination</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<i>court judgment/order</i>	<i>88.0%</i>
...in APSIN	56.0%

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Criminal Cases Referred for Prosecution January 2003

SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Purpose

The purpose of the audit is to evaluate the effectiveness of procedures for compiling criminal history records from various sources. This audit attempts to answer this question: **What is the probability of finding complete, accurate information at the state's central repository about a charge initiated in this Judicial District during this audit period?**

Methodology

Population: 166 Criminal Case Intake and Disposition forms

Sample: 61 Criminal Case Intake and Disposition forms

Based on the sample selection criteria, the auditor is 95% certain (confidence level) that the error rates found in the records sampled will be within 10% (precision factor) of the error rates for the entire population.

The auditor calculates the probability of finding complete, accurate information about a charge from these sources:

FINGERPRINT CARDS, required to be submitted to the state central repository for all criminal charges (AS 12.80.060)

DISPOSITION REPORTS, required from the prosecutor or court that disposed of a charge (AS 12.62.120);

APSIN (the Alaska Public Safety Information Network) is the computerized criminal history record system. Information about a charge in APSIN may be entered directly by the arresting agency. It may be updated by repository staff based on fingerprint cards and disposition reports, as well as other research. Increasingly, it may be entered automatically from an arrest, fingerprint or disposing agency's information system through an electronic interface.

Findings

To calculate the overall probability of finding complete, accurate information about a charge, the auditor determined, for each information source, the percentage of information that was (a) recorded, (b) not fictional, (c) complete, and (d) accurate. The auditor's computations are shown on the following page:

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

What is the probability that the charge is (a) RECORDED?

	Actual (Required)	Missing	(a) RECORDED
Charges on Fingerprint Cards	80 100%	-27 34%	53 66%
Charges in Disposition Reports	81 100%	-8 10%	73 90%
... Prosecutor (Declines)	1	-1 100%	0 0%
... Court (Judgments/Orders)	79	-6 8%	73 92%
... None (confirmed active)	1	-1 100%	0
Charges in APSIN	81 100%	-7 9%	74 91%

What is the probability that the recorded charge is (b) NOT FICTIONAL*?

	Actual (Required)	Fictional	(b) NOT FICTIONAL
Charges on Fingerprint Cards	80 100%	0 0%	100%
Charges in Disposition Reports	80 100%	0 0%	100%
... Prosecutor (Declines)	1	0 0%	100%
... Court (Judgments/Orders)	79	0 0%	100%
... None (confirmed active)	0	0 0%	0%
Charges in APSIN	81 100%	0 0%	100%

If the charge is recorded, what is the probability it is (c) COMPLETE (not missing data)?

	Actual Data	Missing Data	(c) COMPLETE
Data on Fingerprint Cards	280 100%	-2 1%	278 99%
Data in Disposition Reports	527 100%	-15 3%	512 97%
... Prosecutor (Declines)	0	0	0
... Court (Judgments/Orders)	527	-15 3%	512 97%
... None (confirmed active)	0	0	0 n/a
Data in APSIN	773 100%	-296 38%	477 62%

If the data is complete, what is the probability that it is (d) ACCURATE?

	Actual Data	Data Errors	(d) ACCURATE
Data on Fingerprint Cards	278 100%	7 3%	271 97%
Data in Disposition Reports	512 100%	-10 -2%	502 98%
... Prosecutor (Declines)	0	0	0
... Court (Judgments/Orders)	512	-10 -2%	502 98%
... None (confirmed active)	0	0	0 n/a
Data in APSIN	477 100%	1 0%	476 100%

*A "fictional" charge is one that should not be reported to the repository or entered into APSIN; fictional charges are often caused by duplicate reporting or entry of charges, or reporting noncriminal violations as criminal charges.

Completeness scores (missing data) in APSIN include information that was reported to the repository but was incomplete and reports that were submitted to the repository but not entered in a timely manner.

Scores read by row, not by column. All data reported on fingerprint cards and in disposition reports is not required reporting elements in APSIN (i.e, ID fingerprint agency, charge filing date). Fingerprint data reported on fingerprint cards is scored in one row; disposition data reported on court documents is scored in one row; APSIN data from reported information is scored in one row (for example, the APSIN 'actual data' scored is 467; the 'data errors' scored is 1; total data that was accurate in APSIN is 466).

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Conclusion: The overall probability of finding complete, accurate information is calculated as follows: (a) % recorded X (b) % not fictional X (c) % data complete X (d) % data accurate

Charges on Fingerprint Cards (a) 66% X (b) 100% X (c) 99% X (d) 97% **64%**

Charges in Disposition Reports (a) 90% X (b) 100% X (c) 97% X (d) 98% **86%**

... *Prosecutor Declines* (a) 0% X (b) 100% X (c) 0% (d) 0% *0%*

... *Court (Judgments/Orders)* (a) 92% X (b) 100% X (c) 97% X (d) 98% *88%*

Charges in APSIN (a) 91% X (b) 100% X (c) 62% X (d) 100% **56%**

The remainder of the report provides details about the audit methodology and procedures, identifies the specific charges that were found to be missing and fictional, and shows completeness and accuracy rates for specific data elements for each information source.

CONTENTS

SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

PART I	AUDIT PURPOSE AND SCOPE	1
PART II	AUDIT PROCEDURES	
	Step 1. Identify Population	3
	Step 2. Calculate Sample Size	3
	Step 3. Identify Reporting Requirements/Data Sources	4
	Step 4. Locate Source Documents	5
	Step 5. Collect/Score Data	5
PART III	MISSING & FICTIONAL CHARGES	
	Fingerprint Records	6
	Prosecutor Declinations	8
	Court Dispositions	9
	APSIN	10
PART IV	DATA QUALITY	12
	Fingerprint Card	12
	Prosecutor Decline	12
	Court Disposition	12
	APSIN	12
	Audit Notes	13
	Scoring Guidelines	15

PART I. AUDIT PURPOSE AND SCOPE

Purpose: The purpose of this audit is to evaluate the quality of criminal history data submitted to the state central repository and maintained in APSIN.

Mandate: AS 12.62.120 requires criminal justice agencies to report certain events to DPS at the time, in the manner, and in the form specified by the department. These reporting requirements are defined in 13 AAC 68, Article 2. AS 12.62.150 requires DPS to conduct periodic audits to ensure compliance with 12.62.

Objectives: The primary objective is to assess, with statistically supported accuracy, the completeness, timeliness and accuracy of data submitted to the repository and entered into APSIN. A second objective is to determine what systematic errors are contributing to incomplete, late, or inaccurate records. A third objective is to identify the effects of recent projects, automation, or other programmatic or policy changes that have improved record quality.

Scope: The audit sample is drawn from criminal cases referred for prosecution during January of 2005. This allows the auditor to measure data quality for "reportable" events, to compare changes from year to year, and complete the audit within available resources.

"Reportable Events" - An arrest charge must be reported to the repository even if it is declined for prosecution. A criminal charge that does not involve an arrest must be reported only if it is prosecuted. Noncriminal infractions and juvenile charges referred to a prosecutor do not have to be reported. By reviewing all cases referred for prosecution, the audit identifies not only the percentage of *reportable events* submitted to the repository, but also identifies any *non-reportable* events that were incorrectly submitted to the repository, or entered into APSIN. The events required to be reported to the repository, the contents of each report, and the timelines for reporting the information are defined in AS 12.62.120 and 13 AAC 68 Article 2, including:

- Arrest / Citation
- Fingerprinting
- Booking (photo)
- Decision not to commence criminal proceedings (for charge resulting from arrest)
- Filing of a charging document
- Court disposition
- Disposition change (court, appellate court, parole board)

In addition to measuring compliance with the reporting of events, the auditor measures the data quality of APSIN records based on events required to be reported. For example, if the audit sample contained 100 charges that were referred for prosecution the auditor would measure how many of those charges were correctly entered into APSIN. The auditor would also measure how many charges were incorrectly entered into APSIN (referred to as "fictional" or "duplicate" charges). For example, if any of the 100 charges involved a juvenile, a noncriminal infraction, or a charge that did not stem from arrest and was not prosecuted, (did not require entry into APSIN) the auditor confirms that those charges were correctly *omitted* from APSIN.

Jurisdiction - The audit consists of separate record samples and findings for the **Second Judicial District**. The audit does not attempt to measure reporting compliance for individual agencies within a judicial district. Instead, it evaluates reporting compliance and APSIN record quality based on the integrated, system wide efforts of all agencies within the judicial district and repository staff.

PART I. AUDIT PURPOSE AND SCOPE

Audit Period - DPS has limited the scope of the audit to a one month period to match the level of audit resources available. To enable year-to-year comparison, prosecutor referrals for the same month (January) will be sampled each year. The auditor reviews reports related to these January referrals which are submitted to APSIN by a predetermined cut-off date (July 31). This cutoff date was selected to make audit findings comparable no matter what time of year an audit is completed. For example, if the auditor completes the review of January cases from the First Judicial District in August, then completes the review of January cases for the Second Judicial District in October, the findings are not comparable unless a fixed cutoff date is used.

Limiting the audit to this "snapshot" period means that certain events and records are less likely to be audited, however. For example, serious felony cases are less likely than misdemeanors to have reached final disposition within the 7-month snapshot period. Events such as changing of dispositions on appeal and granting of executive clemency are less likely to occur within the snapshot period. This means that the audit findings are more statistically significant for the initial events (reporting of arrests, fingerprinting, and prosecutor declinations). The audit findings are not necessarily statistically significant for subsequent events, such as court dispositions or disposition changes.

This project was supported by Byrne Subgrants awarded annually by the Department of Public Safety, Division of Alaska State Troopers, through the U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance.

PART II. AUDIT PROCEDURES

STEP 3. IDENTIFY REPORTING REQUIREMENTS/DATA SOURCES

EVENT	AGENCY RESPONSIBLE	TIME LIMIT	13 AAC 68.160 WAIVER
Arrest/Citation 13 AAC 68.115	Arrest agencies meet this requirement by entering arrest data directly into APSIN. Because other agencies, including the repository, may subsequently update/change that data, it is not possible to audit the timeliness or data quality of the arresting agency's original report.	1 wk	NO
Fingerprint Card 13 AAC 68.120	North Slope Borough Department of Public Safety Kotzebue Police Department White Mountain VPSO Anvil Mountain Correctional Center Department of Public Safety Fairbanks AST	1 wk	NO NO NO NO NO
Decline Prosecution 13 AAC 68.130	District Attorney Barrow	1 mo	NO
Court Filing 13 AAC 68.135	not applicable - courts do not report charge filing at this time	1 mo	N/A
Court Disposition 13 AAC 68.140	Superior Court Nome District Court Nome District Court Kotzebue District Court Barrow Magistrate Nome Magistrate Kotzebue Magistrate Barrow Magistrate Unalakleet	1 mo	NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO
Disposition Change 13 AAC 68.140-145	not applicable - no disposition changes were reported in this audit	1 mo	N/A
Booking Photo 13 AAC 68.150	(see above; same as fingerprint agencies)	1 wk	NO
APSIN (RAP SHEET) AS 12.62.150	DPS - R&I (Records and ID Bureau)	N/A	N/A

PART II. AUDIT PROCEDURES

STEP 4. LOCATE/COPY SOURCE DOCUMENTS

Arrest Report	Obtain from arrest agency <i>if needed</i> to verify CCID or FP card data.
Fingerprint Card	Obtain from repository files.
Criminal Case Intake/Dispo Form	Obtain from prosecutor.
Filing Document	Obtain from court. <i>(Not applicable for this audit).</i>
Court Disposition	Obtain from repository files (image archive).
Dispo Change	Obtain from repository files (image archive).
Booking Photo	Check repository files to confirm if on file.
APSIN Rap Sheet	Obtain APSIN criminal history for each record.
APSIN Entry Date Log	Obtain APSIN "date-of-entry" logs for each record.

STEP 5. COLLECT/SCORE DATA

Enter the SAMPLE SIZE (the number of RECORDS audited). RECORD means a single arrest/charging incident referred for prosecution/screening.	61
Enter the number of CHARGES (individual criminal offense, also referred to as a "count").	81
Enter the number of FICTIONAL CHARGES IN APSIN (a nonexistent charge, e.g., entries caused by a duplicate report of an actual charge or erroneous report showing a noncriminal violation as a criminal charge, etc.).	0
Enter the number of MISSING CHARGES IN APSIN (a charge that should have been reported/posted in APSIN as part of an arrest event/charging incident, but was omitted).	7
Enter the number of COLLATERAL OFFENSES (violation of a court or parole condition involving an audited RECORD).	0
Enter the number of DATA ELEMENTS (discrete field of information) for all CHARGES and COLLATERALS in all RECORDS.	1,580

PART III. REPORTING - DETAIL

Missing and Fictional Fingerprint Records

Detail on Missing FP Charges:

	Criminal Charge	FP Missing	Arrest/Cite Agency	Court	Comment
1	Violate Conditions of Release	✓	NSB PD	Barrow District	1 of 2 charges omitted from card, court dispo
2	Assault 4th	✓	Gambell AST	Nome District	1 of 2 charges omitted from card, court dispo
3	Alcohol Importation	✓	Nome AST	Nome District	no FP card; court ordered after audit period
4	Minor Consuming - habitual	✓	Kotzebue AST	Kotzebue District	no FP despite arrest/cite, court dispo
5	Assault 4th	✓	NSB PD	Barrow District	1 of 2 charges omitted from card, court dispo
6	Criminal Trespass	✓	Nome PD	Nome District	1 of 2 charges omitted from card, court dispo
7	Drive without Valid License	✓	NSB PD	Nome District	no FP despite arrest/cite, court dispo
8	Criminal Trespass 1st	✓	Kotzebue AST	Kotzebue District	no FP despite arrest/cite, court dispo
9	Criminal Mischief 5th	✓	Kotzebue AST	Kotzebue District	no FP despite arrest/cite, court dispo
10	Attempted Vehicle Theft	✓	Kotzebue AST	Kotzebue District	no FP despite arrest/cite, court dispo
11	MICS 6th	✓	Kotzebue AST	Kotzebue District	no FP despite arrest/cite, court dispo
12	Assault 4th	✓	Unalakleet PD	Nome District	no FP despite arrest/cite, court dispo
13	Assault 4th	✓	Unalakleet PD	Nome District	no FP despite arrest/cite, court dispo
14	Assault 4th	✓	Unalakleet PD	Nome District	no FP despite arrest/cite, court dispo
15	Violate Conditions of Release	✓	NSB PD	Barrow District	no FP despite arrest/cite, court dispo

PART III. REPORTING - DETAIL

Missing and Fictional Fingerprint Records

	Criminal Charge	FP Missing	Arrest/Cite Agency	Court	Comment
16	MICS 6th	✓	NSB PD	Barrow District	no FP despite arrest/cite, court dispo
17	Vehicle Theft 2nd	✓	Unalakleet PD	Nome District	no FP despite arrest/cite, court dispo
18	DWI	✓	Unalakleet PD	Nome District	no FP despite arrest/cite, court dispo
19	Alcohol Importation	✓	NSB PD	Barrow District	no FP despite arrest/cite, court dispo
20	Permit Unauth Person to Drive	✓	NSB PD	Barrow District	no FP despite arrest/cite, court dispo
21	Assault 4th	✓	NSB PD	Barrow District	no FP despite arrest/cite, court dispo
22	Assault 4th	✓	NSB PD	Barrow District	no FP despite arrest/cite, court dispo
23	Assault 4th	✓	NSB PD	Barrow District	no FP despite arrest/cite, court dispo
24	Contributing to Del of Minor	✓	Nome PD	Nome District	no FP despite arrest/cite, court dispo
25	Assault 4th	✓	Nome PD	Nome District	no FP despite arrest/cite, court dispo
26	MICS 4th	✓	Kotzebue AST	Kotzebue District	no FP despite arrest/cite, court dispo
27	DWI	✓	Noorvik AST	Kotzebue District	1 of 2 charges omitted from card, court dispo

Detail on Fictional FP Charges:

	Criminal Charge	Fictional	Agency	Court	Comment
No Fictional FP charges in this audit					

PART III. REPORTING - DETAIL

Missing Reports of Prosecutor Declinations

Criminal Charge	Decline Missing	Prosecution Agency	Comment
1 MICS 6th	✓	Barrow District Attorney	CCID shows 'decline - dismissal required'. Barrow DA reports no dismissal needed. Incorrectly reported as 398 (decline dismissal required); should have been reported as 399 (declined for prosecution)

PART III. REPORTING - DETAIL

Missing Reports of Court Dispositions

	Criminal Charge	Court Dispo Missing	Court	Comment
1	MICS 4th	✓	Barrow District Court	court did not send disposition (dismissal) to repository
2	Violate Conditions of Release	✓	Barrow District Court	court did not send disposition (dismissal) to repository
3	MICS 6th	✓	Barrow District Court	court did not send disposition (dismissal) to repository
4	MIW 4th	✓	Barrow District Court	court did not send disposition (conviction) to repository
5	SAM 4th	✓	Barrow District Court	court did not send disposition (dismissal) to repository
6	Permit Unauth Person to Drive	✓	Barrow District Court	court did not send disposition (dismissal) to repository

PART III. REPORTING - DETAIL

Missing and Fictional Charges in APSIN

Criminal Charge	Missing FP	Missing Decline	Missing Court Dispo	Explanation of Why Charge is Missing in APSIN
1 Minor Consuming	✓			Arrest/citation. MCA violation amended to habitual; court dispo was received but not entered before audit cut off date
2 DWOL	✓			Citation issued; court dispo was received but DOB was inaccurate and required research; not entered before audit cut off date
3 MICS 6th	✓			No arrest/citation - charge added; court dispo received but not entered before audit cut off date
4 Violate Cond of Rel	✓		✓	NSBPD didn't enter arrest/citation; charge filed in court; declined by prosecutor (dismissal required) but not reported by court
5 MICS 6th	✓		✓	NSBPD didn't enter arrest/citation; charge filed in court; declined by prosecutor (dismissal required) but not reported by court
6 Permit Unauth Person to Drive	✓		✓	NSBPD didn't enter arrest/cite in APSIN; charge filed in court; dismissed by prosecutor but not reported by court
7 MICS 4th	✓		✓	NSBPD didn't enter arrest/cite in APSIN; charge filed in court; dismissed by prosecutor but not reported by court

Detail on Fictional Charges:

Criminal Charge	Comment
No Fictional charges in this audit	

PART IV. DATA QUALITY

= FOR REPORTS RECEIVED/ENTERED: number of times a data element was required

CMP = FOR REPORTS RECEIVED/ENTERED: percentage of times a required data element was reported/entered

ACC = FOR DATA PROVIDED, percentage that was accurate (missing data is not scored for accuracy)

	ARREST REPORT ¹			FP CARD			PROSECUTOR DECLINE			COURT FILING ²			COURT DISPO			DISPO CHANGE			APSIN		
	#	CMP	ACC	#	CMP	ACC	#	CMP	ACC	#	CMP	ACC	#	CMP	ACC	#	CMP	ACC	#	CMP	ACC
Total Data Elements				280	99.3%	97.5%	0						527	97.2%	98.0%	0			773	61.7%	99.8%
ATN #	0			53	98%	98%	0						73	100%	96%	0			74	100%	100%
Charge Number	0																				
Offense Code - Arr/Cit	0			53	100%	91%	0												74	100%	100%
ID of Arr/Cit Agency	0			18	100%	100%	0												74	100%	100%
Date Arr/Cit	0			53	100%	98%													74	100%	99%
Date of Offense				0																	
ID of FP agency				53	98%	100%															
FP Signature				50	100%	100%															
Decline Prosecution							0												0		
ID of decline agency							0												0		
Date declined							0												0		
Off Code - Filing																					
ID of Ct - Chg Filed																					
Date Chg Filed																					
Court Case #													73	100%	100%	0			70	66%	100%
Date CtOrd FP				0																	
ID of CtOrd FP				0																	
Off Code - Dispo													73	79%	93%	0			67	21%	100%
ID of Ct Dispo Agcy													73	100%	96%	0			67	21%	100%
Date of Ct Dispo													73	100%	100%	0			67	21%	100%
Ct Dispo													73	100%	100%	0			67	21%	100%
POS ID (FP) Flag																			59	98%	100%
Fine													6	100%	100%	0			5	40%	100%
Jail													33	100%	100%	0			30	20%	100%
Probation													26	100%	100%	0			22	18%	100%
SIS (set aside)													2	100%	100%	0			1	0%	
Alcohol Screening													4	100%	100%	0			4	50%	100%
Restitution																0					
License Susp/Rev													8	100%	100%	0			6	33%	100%
CWS													4	100%	100%	0			3	0%	
Felony/Misd Status																			4	100%	100%
Sex Ofndr Regis Flag													1			0			1		
DV Flag - Dispo													5	100%	100%	0			4	0%	
Disposition Change																0			0		
ID of Dispo Chg Agcy																0			0		
Date of Dispo Change																0			0		
App.Case #																0			0		
Collateral Arrest Dt				0															0		
Collateral Offense Cod				0															0		
Collateral Ct Case #				0															0		

¹ Arrest reporting/data quality was not audited, because arrest agencies are not required to submit a source document (arrest report) to the repository. The agencies enter arrest/citation data directly into APSIN, which may also be updated/overwritten by repository staff (based on other source documents).

² The Alaska Court System does not report charge filing information to the repository at this time.

PART IV. AUDIT NOTES

AUDIT GUIDELINES	The audit cut off date was July 31, 2005. Reports received after July 31, 2005, were not audited. This audit scores MISSING REPORTS, ERRONEOUS (fictional) REPORTS (there were no fictional charges in this audit) and DATA QUALITY. MISSING REPORTS are identified and scored as one component of overall performance. DATA QUALITY is scored from information reported to the repository. Data submitted on CCID forms, fingerprint cards and court documents is scored in the "DATA QUALITY" component of the audit. Data quality is scored for "COMPLETENESS" (was it reported) and "ACCURACY" (was it correct). The executive summary of this audit report compiles MISSING REPORTS, ERRONEOUS CHARGES (fictional) and scored values of reported information (DATA QUALITY) and derives an overall audit score for this audit period .
APSIN	In this audit, a total of 81 charges were audited. Of 81 charges that should have been entered into APSIN by 7/31/05, 7 charges were MISSING. Of 81 charges audited, 74 charges were entered into APSIN from arrests, fingerprint information and court dispositions. Of 74 charges reported and scored as "arrests" in DATA QUALITY in APSIN, one charge was reported as declined. Of 73 dispositions reported by the court, 67 were scored in APSIN for data quality. Two charges were reported by the court but added to APSIN after the audit cut off dated and four dispositions were sent by the court but not received by the repository.
FINGERPRINT CARDS	In this audit, 80 FPC charges were audited. Of 80 FPC charges that should have been reported and entered into APSIN, 27 charges were MISSING , resulting in 53 charges scored for DATA QUALITY.
PROSECUTOR DECLINES	In this audit, 1 prosecutor decline was MISSING. No charges declined for prosecution were scored in DATA QUALITY. Only charges for which there was an arrest, citation, summons or charge filed in court AND the charge was subsequently declined for prosecution require a declination report. Charges that are investigated or referred to the prosecutor without arrest or charging event, and subsequently declined for prosecution, do not require APSIN entry.
COURT JUDGMENTS	In this audit 79 charges were disposed in court dispositions. Of 81 audited charges, 79 charges required court dispositions, one charge was declined, one charge was active. Of 79 charges that required a court disposition, 6 dispositions were missing. Missing dispositions not reported to the repository include 5 dismissals and one conviction. In this audit, 4 disposition reports were sent by the court but not received by the repository (as verified by certified "court sent" dates on court documents). For these charges, the court is given credit for reporting the disposition in the DATA QUALITY component of the audit but APSIN is scored as MISSING information. A total of 73 charges were scored for data quality in court dispositions.
DATA COMPLETENESS / DATA ACCURACY	
ATN # (Arrest Tracking Number)	
Fingerprint Card	Completeness: Of 53 fingerprint card charges reported to the repository, 98% (52) reported ATN's. One charge was missing an ATN. Accuracy: of 52 fingerprint charges reported with ATN's, 98% (51) were accurate.
Prosecutor Decline	Completeness/Accuracy: No prosecutor declines were scored for data quality.
Court	Completeness: Of 73 ATN's reported on dispositions, 100% were reported completely. Accuracy: of 73 ATN's reported on dispositions, 96% (70) were reported accurately.
APSIN	Completeness: Of 74 charges reported to the repository, 100% included ATN's that were entered completely and accurately .
Offense Code - Arrest/Citation	Fingerprint card offense codes are scored for two elements: a charge and a statute. A correct and accurate offense code must show a literal description of the charge and an accompanying statute. If the description of a charge or statute is reported, it is scored as complete. If either or both the description or statute is wrong, it is scored as inaccurate.
Fingerprint Card	Completeness: Of 53 charges on fingerprint cards, 100% reported offense codes. Accuracy: Of 53 reported offense codes, 91% (48) were accurate.
Prosecutor Decline	Completeness/Accuracy: No prosecutor declines were scored for data quality.
APSIN	Completeness/Accuracy: Of 74 charges reported to the repository, 100% of offense codes entered completely and accurately .

PART IV. AUDIT NOTES

ID of Arrest/Citation Agency	The "ID of arrest/citation agency" is scored only when the arrest agency is specifically identified on the fingerprint card. If the arrest agency and the fingerprint agency are the same, the "ID of fingerprint agency" is scored.
Fingerprint Card	Completeness: Of 53 charges on fingerprint cards, 18 were reported with specific arrest agency ID's (not the fingerprint agency), 100% were reported completely and accurately .
Prosecutor Decline	Completeness/Accuracy: No prosecutor declines were scored for data quality.
APSIN	Completeness: Of 74 charges reported to the repository, 100% of the arrest agency ID's were entered completely and accurately .
Date Arrest/Citation	Fingerprint card dates are categorized by "date of arrest" and "date of court ordered". No court ordered fingerprints were included in this audit.
Fingerprint Card	Completeness: Of 53 charges on fingerprint cards, 100% of the arrest dates were entered completely . Of 53 arrest dates entered, 98% (52) were entered accurately .
APSIN	Completeness: of 74 charges reported to the repository, 100% of arrest dates were entered in APSIN. Accuracy: of 74 dates entered, 99% (73) were entered accurately.
Prosecutor Declination	
Prosecutor Agency	Completeness/Accuracy: No prosecutor declines were scored for data quality.
APSIN	Completeness/Accuracy: No prosecutor declines were scored for data quality.
Id of Decline Agency	
Prosecutor Agency	Completeness/Accuracy: No prosecutor declines were scored for data quality.
APSIN	Completeness/Accuracy: No prosecutor declines were scored for data quality.
Date Declined	
Prosecutor Agency	Completeness/Accuracy: No prosecutor declines were scored for data quality.
APSIN	Completeness/Accuracy: No prosecutor declines were scored for data quality.
Date Court Ordered FP	
Fingerprint Card	Completeness/Accuracy: No court ordered fingerprints were reported in this audit..
ID Court Ordered FP	

PART IV. AUDIT NOTES

Fingerprint Card	Completeness/Accuracy: No court ordered fingerprints were reported in this audit..
Court Case #	Court case numbers may be entered from an arrest event, fingerprint card or a disposition document.
Court	Completeness/Accuracy: of 73 court case numbers reported on dispositions, 100% were reported completely and accurately .
APSIN	Completeness: of 70 court case numbers scored for APSIN data quality, 66% (46) were entered before the audit cut off date completely and accurately.
Offense Code - Disposition	When scoring offense code fields, a charge must have a literal description and a statute. If a charge is missing one of these elements, it will be scored as 'inaccurate'.
Court	Completeness: of 73 offense codes on dispositions reported by the court, 79% (58) were reported completely. Accuracy: of 58 offense codes reported completely, 93% (54) were reported accurately. Of 4 inaccurate offense codes, 100% were missing a statute or a literal description.
APSIN	Completeness: Of 73 offense codes on dispositions reported by the court, 67 were scored for APSIN data quality. Of the 67 offense codes that were scored for APSIN, 21% (14) were entered completely and accurately . Of 14 offense codes entered, 100% were entered accurately .
ID of Ct Dispo Agcy	
Court	Completeness: Of 73 court dispositions, 100% reported the court ID completely . Accuracy: Of 73 reported court ID's, 96% (70) were reported accurately. Three charges were inaccurately reported with a court ID of Palmer instead of Kotzebue (the prosecutor office confirmed the wrong court template was used).
APSIN	Completeness: Of 73 court dispositions, 67 were scored for APSIN data quality. Of 67 court ID's scored, 21% (14) were entered completely and accurately . Fifty three dispositions were reported by the court but were not entered into APSIN before the audit cut off date (not timely).
Date of Court Dispositions	
Court	Completeness/Accuracy: of 73 court dispositions reported, 100% were reported the date completely and accurately .
APSIN	Completeness: Of 73 court dispositions, 67 were scored for APSIN data quality. Of 67 court dispo dates that were scored in APSIN, 21% (14) were entered completely and accurately . Fifty three dispositions were reported by the court but were not entered into APSIN before the audit cut off date of July 31, 2005 (were not timely).
Court Disposition	
Court	Completeness/Accuracy: of 73 reported court dispositions, 100% were reported completely and accurately ..
APSIN	Completeness: Of 73 court dispositions, 67 were scored for APSIN data quality. Of 67 court dispositions that were scored in APSIN, 21% (14) were entered completely and accurately . Fifty three dispositions were reported by the court but were not entered into APSIN before the audit cut off date of July 31, 2005 (were not timely).
POS ID (FP) Flag	
APSIN	Completeness: 53 charges were reported on fingerprint cards and 59 charges were scored for data quality in APSIN. In 5 cases, charges were missing from the fingerprint card at the time of fingerprinting; and one charge was added at a later date. Of 59 charges scored for data quality, 98% (58) were entered completely and accurately. (In one case, a FPC was received in June but not entered into APSIN until August).
Felony Flag	

PART IV. AUDIT NOTES

APSIN	Completeness: of 4 felony convictions reported to the repository 100% were entered completely and accurately .
DV Flag - Dispo	
Court	Completeness: of 5 dispositions with DV flags, 100% were reported completely and accurately .
APSIN	Completeness: of 5 dispositions with DV flags, 80% (4) were scored in APSIN for data quality but none were not entered before the audit cut off date. .
SOR Flag - Disposition	
Court	Completeness: 1 disposition with an SOR flag was reported completely and accurately .
APSIN	Completeness: Of 1 disposition with an SOR flag, 100% (1) was entered completely and accurately .
Sentencing - FINES	
Court	Completeness: Of 6 fines reported in dispositions, 100% were reported completely and accurately .
APSIN	Completeness: Of 6 fines reported on dispositions, (83%) 5 were scored for APSIN data quality. Of 5 fines reported, 40% (2) were entered completely and accurately .
Sentence- JAIL	
Court	Completeness/Accuracy: Of 33 dispositions reporting jail time, 100% were reported completely and accurately .
APSIN	Completeness: Of 33 dispositions with jail time, 30 were scored in APSIN for data quality. Of 30 dispositions scored 20% (6) were entered completely and accurately .
Sentence - PROBATION	
Court	Completeness/Accuracy: Of 25 dispositions with probation, 100% were reported completely and accurately .
APSIN	Completeness: Of 25 dispositions with probation, 22 were scored for APSIN data quality. Of 22 dispositions scored, 18% (4) were entered completely and accurately .
Sentence -SIS	
Court	Completeness: Of 2 SIS dispositions reported , 100% were reported completely and accurately .

PART IV. AUDIT NOTES

APSIN	Completeness: of 2 SIS dispositions reported, 1 was scored for APSIN data quality but was not entered before the audit cut off date (not timely).
Sentence - ALCOHOL SCREENING	
Court	Completeness/Accuracy: Of 4 dispositions with alcohol screening, 100% were reported completely and accurately .
APSIN	Completeness: of 4 dispositions reported with alcohol screening, 4 were scored in APSIN for data quality. Of 4 scored, 50% (2) were entered into APSIN completely and accurately (2 were received by the repository but not entered by the audit cut off date).
Sentence - RESTITUTION	
Court	Completeness/Accuracy: No dispositions with restitution were reported in this audit.
APSIN	Completeness/Accuracy: No dispositions with restitution were reported in this audit.
Sentence - LICENSE/REVOICATION	
Court	Completeness/Accuracy: Of 8 dispositions reported with license revocations, 100% were reported completely and accurately .
APSIN	Completeness: Of 8 dispositions with license revocations, 6 were scored for APSIN data quality. Of 6 license revocations scored, 33% (2) were entered completely and accurately (4 were not entered timely).
Sentence - COMMUNITY WORK SERVICE	
Court	Completeness/Accuracy: Of 4 judgments reported with community work service, 100% were entered completely and accurately .
APSIN	Completeness: Of 4 dispositions with CWS, 3 were scored for APSIN data quality but were not entered before the audit cut off date (not timely).

PART IV. DATA QUALITY

SCORING GUIDELINES	FP CARD #	PROSECUTOR DECLINE #	COURT FILING ² #	COURT DISPO #	DISPO CHANGE #	APSIN #	Only information submitted to the repository was scored. Data is scored as (1) accurate or (0) inaccurate; Missing data is not scored (N) In APSIN, for judgments that were received but not entered timely, score as 0/0.
ATN #	x	x		x	x	x	if missing, completeness = 0 and accuracy = N; if provided, completeness =1 and, if different than ATN on CCID accuracy = 0
Charge Number							not scored at this time
Offense Code - Arr/Cit	x	x		x	x	x	if missing, completeness = 0 and accuracy = N; if provided, completeness = 1 and, if different than CCID, accuracy =0; (unless obvious problem w/CCID then check arrest report/citation.
ID of Arr/Cit Agency	x					x	if missing, completeness = 0 and accuracy = N; if provided, completeness = 1 and, if different than CCID, accuracy =0; (unless obvious problem w/CCID then check arrest report/citation.
Date Arr/Cit	x					x	if missing, completeness =0 and accuracy = N; if provided, completeness = 1 and if different than CCID accuracy =0; (unless obvious problem w/CCID then check arrest report/citation. APSIN requires a 'date of arrest' entry; if date of arrest is unknown the date of violation is entered and is scored as complete = 1; accurate = 0 (systematic error)
Date of Offense							not scored; regulations require date of offense on FPC, if known
ID of FP agency	x						if FP agency = arrest agency score under 'id arrest agency'; if FP agency and arrest agency are different, id FP agency must be scored; ori agency is FP agency. If missing, completeness = 0 and accuracy = N; if provided, completeness = 1 and, if different that ori score 0 on accuracy
FP Signature	x						if missing on paper FPC completeness = 0; accurate = N
Decline Prosecution		x				x	if missing, completeness = 0 and accuracy = N; if provided, completeness = 1 and if different than CCID accuracy = 0
ID of decline agency		x				x	if missing, completeness = 0 and accuracy = N; if provided, completeness = 1 and if different than CCID accuracy = 0
Date declined		x				x	if missing, completeness = 0 and accuracy = N; if provided, completeness = 1 and if different than CCID accuracy = 0
Off Code - Filing							not scored at this time
ID of Ct - Chg Filed							not scored at this time
Date Chg Filed							not scored at this time
Court Case #				x		x	if missing, completeness =0 and accuracy = N; if provided, completeness = 1 and if different than court information accuracy = 0
Date CtOrd FP	x						scored if court ordered fingerprints
ID of CtOrd FP	x						scored if court ordered fingerprints
Off Code - Dispo				x		x	For this data field, two data elements are scored: the literal description of the offense and the statute citation for that offense are both required. If one element is reported (on judgment or entered in APSIN) score "complete" as 1; if second element is missing or inaccurate, score "accuracy" as 0. If both elements are missing score completeness and accuracy as N/N.
ID of Ct Dispo Agcy				x		x	from court: if missing, completeness =0 and accuracy = N; if provided, completeness = 1 and if different than court information accuracy = 0
Date of Ct Dispo				x		x	from court: if missing, completeness =0 and accuracy = N; if provided, completeness = 1 and if different than court information accuracy = 0
Ct Dispo				x		x	from court: if missing, completeness =0 and accuracy = N; if provided, completeness = 1 and if different than court information accuracy = 0
POS ID (FP) Flag						x	score all charges in APSIN; systematic entry; if FP on file flag = yes; if no FP on file flag = no;

PART IV. DATA QUALITY

SCORING GUIDELINES	FP CARD	PROSECUTOR DECLINE	COURT FILING ²	COURT DISPO	DISPO CHANGE	APSIN	Only information submitted to the repository was scored. Data is scored as (1) accurate or (0) inaccurate; Missing data is not scored (N) In APSIN, for judgments that were received but not entered timely, score as 0/0.
Fine				x		x	score only if on court documents; if missing in APSIN completeness = 0 and accuracy = N; if provided, completeness = 1 and if different than court, accuracy =0
Jail				x		x	score only if on court documents; if missing in APSIN completeness = 0 and accuracy = N; if provided, completeness = 1 and if different than court, accuracy =0
Probation				x		x	score only if on court documents; if missing in APSIN completeness = 0 and accuracy = N; if provided, completeness = 1 and if different than court, accuracy =0
IS (set aside)				x		x	score only if on court documents; if missing in APIs completeness = 0 and accuracy = N; if provided, completeness = 1 and if different than court, accuracy =0
Alcohol Screening				x		x	score only if on court documents; if missing in APSIN completeness = 0 and accuracy = N; if provided, completeness = 1 and if different than court, accuracy =0
Restitution				x		x	score only if on court documents; if missing in APSIN completeness = 0 and accuracy = N; if provided, completeness = 1 and if different than court, accuracy =0
License Susp/Rev				x		x	score only if on court documents; if missing in APSIN completeness = 0 and accuracy = N; if provided, completeness = 1 and if different than court, accuracy =0
CWS				x		x	score only if on court documents; if missing in APSIN completeness = 0 and accuracy = N; if provided, completeness = 1 and if different than court, accuracy =0
Felony/Misd Status				x		x	for disposed charges in APSIN; systematic entry; if blank or not set = 0 for completeness and accuracy =N; Level of severity is based on the UOCT. If the felony indicator = Y in APSIN and UOCT has felony determination accuracy =1.
Sex Ofndr Regis Flag				x		x	score only charges specified SOR by court; If missing in APSIN, completeness = 0 and accuracy = N; if provided, completeness = 1 and if different than judgment, accuracy =0
DV Flag - Dispo				x		x	score only charges specified DV by court; If missing in APSIN, completeness = 0 and accuracy = N; if provided, completeness = 1 and if different than judgment, accuracy =0
Disposition Change					x	x	from court: if missing, completeness =0 and accuracy = 1; if provided, completeness = 1 and if different than court information accuracy = 0
ID of Dispo Chg Agcy					x	x	from court: if missing, completeness =0 and accuracy = 1; if provided, completeness = 1 and if different than court information accuracy = 0
Date of Dispo Change					x	x	from court: if missing, completeness =0 and accuracy = 1; if provided, completeness = 1 and if different than court information accuracy = 0
App.Case #					x	x	from court: if missing, completeness =0 and accuracy = 1; if provided, completeness = 1 and if different than court information accuracy = 0
Collateral Arrest Dt							
Collateral Offense Code							
Collateral Ct Case #							