The text in this document is provided for assisting in the preparation of NOEs for court purposes. These templates provide general information that may be covered in testimony by a lab analyst in the listed discipline. For case-specific information, attorneys should contact the analyst to discuss their expected testimony for inclusion in this notice.

The State of Alaska, by and through the undersigned attorney, hereby provides notice in the above-captioned case that the State intends to call [ANALYST NAME], Alaska Scientific Crime Detection Laboratory (ASCDL), 4805 Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Ave., Anchorage, AK, 99507, (907) 269-5740, as an expert witness. Any reports authored by this expert have been or will be provided to the defense pursuant to Criminal Rule 16. Curricula vitae for ASCDL analysts are available on the lab’s website: <https://dps.alaska.gov/Statewide/CrimeLab/Quality-Assurance/SOQ>

The substance of [ANALYST NAME]’s expected testimony in this case is as follows:

Analyst will

1. Describe their education, background, training, qualifications, continuing education, and/or professional organization membership as it relates to the field of forensic firearm identification and toolmark analysis.
2. Discuss evidence handling practices and procedures including how evidence is received and securely stored by the laboratory, as well as chain of custody.
3. Describe the principles and methodology of forensic firearm identification and toolmark analysis (including, but not limited to manufacturing processes of firearms, ammunition, and tools, firearm operability and functionality, microscopic comparisons, distance determinations, shot pattern analysis, serial number restoration, and physical/fracture matching).
4. Describe which items of evidence submitted to the Alaska Scientific Crime Detection Laboratory were examined and are identified in one or more laboratory reports.
5. Describe each test method used, scientific principles related to that test method, quality control procedures in place, and any limitations, as well as the methodology followed.
6. Explain the conclusions reached for each item analyzed.
7. Describe the quality assurance measures in place to ensure the integrity and validity of the opinions rendered in this case.
8. Comment on any other relevant matter on which the analyst is qualified to render an opinion.
9. *[Case-specific conclusions, and the basis of those conclusions, can be added here, after consultation with the assigned analyst]*