

State of Alaska
Alaska Police Standards Council
Box 111200
Juneau, Alaska 99811-1200

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

BEFORE THE

ALASKA POLICE STANDARDS COUNCIL

In the Matter of)
)
JACKIE OSBORNE,) APSC No. 2007-18
)
A Certified Correctional Officer)
Respondent)

DECISION AND ORDER

The Alaska Police Standards Council hereby accepts Respondent Osborne's voluntary relinquishment of his correctional officer certification.

IT IS ORDERED, that such relinquishment shall be permanent and shall bar Respondent Osborne from ever obtaining correctional officer work in Alaska.

ADOPTED at Open Meeting this 5th day of May, 2008.

ALASKA POLICE STANDARDS COUNCIL

By: 
Dan Hoffman, Chairman
Alaska Police Standards Council

STATE OF ALASKA

ALASKA POLICE STANDARDS COUNCIL

In the Matter of)
)
Jackie Osborn) No. APSC 2007-18
)
Respondent,)
_____)

Terry Vrabec, Administrator of the Police Standards Council, Department of Public Safety of the State of Alaska, is seeking to revoke the correctional officer certificate of Jackie Osborn (hereafter the respondent) under the legal authority of AS 18.65.245(2) and the Council's regulations in 13 AAC 85.210(a) and (b), and 13 AAC 85.270(a), (b), and (c) under the procedures governed by the Administrative Procedure Act in AS 44.62.330, *et. seq.* The administrator alleges as follows:

1. On or about January 25, 1999 the respondent was hired as a correctional officer for the Department of Corrections.
2. On or about March 5, 1999, the respondent submitted an application to the Alaska Police Standards Council (APSC) for a correctional officer certificate.
3. That on or about May 4, 1999, the respondent was certified as a police officer in the State of Alaska.
4. While on duty during the night shift of September 25 - 26, 2006, at the Hiland Mountain Correctional Center (HMMC) the respondent was working as a "night shift roving" officer. During the respondent's tour of duty that shift

the respondent engaged in sexual penetration with A.E., a person who the respondent knew was committed to the custody of the Department of Corrections.

5. While off duty the respondent attempted to destroy, mutilate, alter, suppress, conceal, or remove physical evidence, a letter, with intent to impair its verity or availability in an official proceeding or criminal investigation. The respondent did this by asking another correctional officer who also worked at HMCC to enter HMCC and secure another letter the respondent had in his locker and destroy it. That letter was another letter written by A.E. to Osborn. In this letter A.E. clearly implied she was having an intimate relationship with the respondent.

6. When A.E. was interviewed by Trooper Hanson, she admitted that she had a sexual relationship with the respondent during the night shift of September 25-26, 2006. In addition, A.E. stated she often spoke to the respondent while he was on-shift.

7. Trooper Hanson learned that inmate calls were recorded and listened to several calls between A.E. and the respondent. It was clear to Trooper Hanson that a romantic relationship was going on between A.E. and the respondent.

8. Department of Corrections administrators also listened to additional calls between A.E. and the respondent. During these calls the respondent was heard discussing matters involving guard staffing and security issues such as camera placements at HMCC. HMCC administrators view these conversations as a clear threat to the institutions security.

8. The respondent resigned from the Department of Corrections on October 2006.

9. On March 7, 2007, the respondent was criminally charged with (1) Count of Sexual Assault in the 3rd Degree, and (1) Count of Attempted Tampering with Physical Evidence.

10. On October 5, 2007, the defendant pleaded no contest to a consolidated charge of Sexual Assault in the Third Degree and was sentenced to 30 months in jail with 6 months suspended.

11. Based on the respondent's conduct outlined above, the respondent demonstrated poor moral character and no longer qualifies to be a correctional officer in the State of Alaska.

COUNT I

Paragraphs 1 through 11 are incorporated by reference. Based upon these facts, the discharge of the respondent for cause relating to misconduct is grounds for discretionary revocation under 13 AAC 85.270(a)(3)

COUNT II

Paragraphs 1 through 11 are incorporated by reference. Based upon these facts, the discharge of the respondent for cause relating to misconduct is grounds for mandatory revocation under 13 AAC 85.270(b)(1)

COUNT III

Paragraphs 1 through 11 are incorporated by reference. Based upon these facts, the discharge of the respondent for cause relating to misconduct is grounds for mandatory revocation under 13 AAC 85.270(b)(3)

DATED this _____ day of _____, 2007 at Juneau, Alaska

Terry Vrabec, Administrator
Alaska Police Standards Council