

State of Alaska
Alaska Police Standards Council
Box 111200
Juneau, Alaska 99811-1200

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

STATE OF ALASKA

ALASKA POLICE STANDARDS COUNCIL

In the Matter of)
)
 Andrew Reid,) **APSC No. 2015-02**
)
 Respondent,)
)
 _____)

ORDER OF REVOCATION

The Alaska Police Standards Council of the State of Alaska, having duly convened on the 10th day of May, 2016, and having reviewed and discussed the Accusation against the Respondent, which was sent via certified, registered mail, on March 10, 2016, in accordance with AS 44.62.380, takes official notice that a Notice of Defense or a Request for Hearing has not been received from Respondent as required by AS 44.62.390. The Council also takes official notice that under AS 44.62.530, if the Respondent does not file a Notice of Defense, the Council may take action based upon other evidence and an Accusation may be used with notice to the Respondent.


Accordingly, the Council has considered the Accusation dated March 10, 2016.

Based on the Council's consideration of the facts recited in the referenced Accusation,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED

1. That the allegations made in the Accusation against the Respondent dated March 10, 2016, are hereby adopted and the Accusation is made, by reference, a part of this Order as though set forth fully herein.
2. That the Respondent's police officer certificate in the State of Alaska is hereby revoked; and
3. That this Order of Revocation shall take effect in accordance with AS 44.62.520(a).

DATED this 10th day of May, 2016.



Bryce Johnson, Chairman
Alaska Police Standards Council

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

4. On or about March 3, 2015 the Respondent was terminated from employment as a police officer with the Bethel Police Department.

5. On or about March 4, 2015, the Respondent called Sergeant Amy Davis of Bethel PD and was crying and told her he needs help, that he isn't right in the head and that he had been too scared to ask for help. The Respondent told Sergeant Davis it was a good thing the officers had contacted him while he was unarmed because they wouldn't have wanted to approach him while he had been armed.

6. AS 18.65.240(c) provides that the APSC may deny or revoke the certificate of a police officer who does not meet the standards adopted under (a) (2) of this section.

7. 13 AAC 85.110(a)(2) provides that the council will, in its discretion, revoke a basic, intermediate, or advanced certificate upon a finding that the holder of the certificate has been discharged from employment as a police officer in this state for cause for conduct that adversely affects the ability and fitness of the police officer to perform job duties or that is detrimental to the reputation, integrity, or discipline of the police department where the officer worked.

8. 13 AAC 85.110(a)(3) provides that the council will, in its discretion revoke a basic, intermediate, or advanced certificate upon a finding that the holder of the certificate does not meet the standards in 13 AAC 85.010(a) or (b).

9. 13 AAC 85.110(b)(3) provides that the council shall revoke a basic, intermediate, or advanced certificate upon a finding that the holder of the certificate had been discharged from employment as a police officer in this state for conduct

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

that that is detrimental to the integrity of the police department where the police officer worked.

COUNT 1

Paragraphs 1-9 are incorporated by reference. Based upon the facts described above, the Respondent was terminated from employment as a police officer from the Bethel Police Department for cause for conduct that adversely affects the ability and fitness of the Respondent to perform job duties or that is detrimental to the reputation, integrity, or discipline of the Bethel Police Department, which is grounds for discretionary revocation under 13 AAC 85.110(a)(2).

COUNT II

Paragraphs 1-9 are incorporated by reference. Based upon the facts described above, the Respondent does not meet the minimum standards for a certified police officer established under 13 AAC 85.010(a) (6). The Respondent is not mentally capable of performing the essential functions of the job of police officer and is not free from any emotional disorder that may adversely affect the Respondent's performance as a police officer, which is ground for discretionary revocation under 13 AAC 85.110(a)(3).


COUNT III

Paragraphs 1-9 are incorporated by reference. Based upon the facts described above, the Respondent was terminated from Bethel Police Department for cause for conduct that would cause a reasonable person to have substantial doubt about an individual's honesty, fairness, and respect for the rights of others and for the laws of Alaska and the United States or that is detrimental to the integrity of the Bethel

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

Police Department, which is grounds for mandatory revocation under 13 AAC
85.110(b)(3).

DATED this 10th day of March, 2016 at Juneau, Alaska.



Sarah Hieb, Administrative Investigator
Alaska Police Standards Council

*State of Alaska
Alaska Police Standards Council
Box 111200
Juneau, Alaska 99811-1200*