STATE OF ALASKA ALASKA POLICE STANDARDS COUNCIL

In the Matter of RONALD H. WADE

NO. APSC 92-05

Respondent.

ORDER

The Alaska Police Standards Council (hereafter: Council) of the State of Alaska, having duly convened on the 23rd day of May, 1994, and having reviewed and discussed the Accusation against the respondent which was served August 9, 1993 in accordance with AS 44.62.380, takes official notice that a Notice of Defense or a request for hearing has not been received from respondent as required by AS 44.62.390. The Council also takes official notice that under AS 44.62.530, if the respondent does not file a Notice of Defense, the Council may take action based upon other evidence, and an affidavit may be used without notice to the respondent.

In accordance with the provisions of AS 44.62.530 the Council has considered the attached Affidavit of Laddie Shaw.

Based upon the Council's consideration of the facts recited in Mr. Shaw's affidavit,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. That the allegations made in the Accusation against the respondent dated August 9, 1993, are hereby adopted and the Accusation is made by reference a part of this order as though set forth fully herein.
- 2. That the respondent's police officer certificate in the State of Alaska is hereby revoked; and
- 3. That this Order of Revocation shall take effect in accordance with AS 44.62.520(a).

DATED this 23rd day of May, 1994.

Jack McDonald, Chairman

Alaska Police Standards Council

State of Aluska Aluska Police Standards Council Pouch N — Jameau, Alaska 99811 1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

37

7

8

9

11

13

14

15

16

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

30

31

32

STATE OF ALASKA

ALASKA POLICE STANDARDS COUNCIL

In the Matter of
RONALD H. WADE
Respondent.

No. APSC 92-05

AFFIDAVIT OF LADDIE SHAW

Laddie Shaw, being first duly sworn upon oath, here deposes and states that:

- 1: I am employed as Administrator for the Alaska Police Standards Council (hereafter: Council).
- 2: As Administrator, my duties include the investigation of allegations and, when appropriate, the filing of accusation which may result in the revocation of police certificates.
- 3: I have conducted an investigation into the matter of Ronald H. Wade. Based upon the results of that investigation, and upon documents and records contained in council files, it is my information and belief that:
- A. On or about March 1, 1982, the respondent was hired as a police officer for the Anchorage Police Department.
- B. On or about February 28, 1983, the respondent submitted an application to the Alaska Police Standards Council (APSC) for a police officer certificate.
- C. On or about March 21, 1983, the respondent was certified as a police officer in the State of Alaska.
- D. On or about March 14, 1984, a citizen's complaint was filed against Ronald Wade, alleging that Wade was engaging in intimate relationships with known prostitutes and providing information to these women disclosing the identity of Anchorage Police Department vice officers. These allegations were substantiated and resulted in a written reprimand against Wade,

strongly admonishing Wade to refrain from personal involvements with prostitutes. The reprimand specifically indicated that additional violations of a similar nature would result in more severe disciplinary action, up to and including termination.

- E. On or about December 19, 1991, the Anchorage Police Department received a complaint alleging that the respondent, while in uniform and in a patrol vehicle, paid the complainant, a known prostitute, a sum of money for sex.
- F. On or about February 18, 1992, a department internal investigation was completed and the conclusion of the investigating officer was that the respondent violated the following Anchorage Police Department regulations by engaging in sex with a known prostitute for pay while in police uniform, on duty and in a patrol vehicle. Anchorage Police Department Regulations 1.01.040B, 1.02.080, 1.02.030, 1.02.070, 1.03.065 and 1.02.000.
- G. On or about March 4, 1992, the respondent was put on notice during a pre-termination hearing that it was the intent of the department to terminate his employment as a police officer.
- H. On or about March 6, 1992, the respondent resigned his employment in lieu of termination.
- I. On or about March 9, 1992, all of the alleged violations of Anchorage Police Department Regulations named in the complaint filed against the respondent were sustained.
- 4. On August 9, 1993, I sent to the respondent by U.S., mail, return receipt requested, documents consisting of an Accusation, Statutes of the Alaska Police Standards Council, Regulations of the Alaska Police Standards Council, the Administrative Procedures Act, and a Notice of Defense. the Accusation contained the allegations shown as numbered paragraphs 1-9.
- 5. On August 18, 1993, a United States Postal Service "Return Receipt," was received by the Council signed with the name "Ronald Wade," the date of delivery block was post-marked

J

August 16, 1993. A copy of the Return Receipt is attached to this affidavit.

- 6. The Notice of Defense and the letter dated August 9, 1993 sent to the respondent contains instructions that the Notice must be filed with the Council within 15 days of receipt.
- 7. The 15 day period during which respondent could have filed a Notice of Defense expired on September 1, 1993. As of the date of this Affidavit, no Notice of Defense has ever been received from the respondent.
- 8: I conclude that the conduct described in numbered paragraphs 1 through 9 demonstrates that the respondent lacks good moral character, and that respondent resigned from his employment as a police officer in lieu of being discharged. Respondent therefore does not meet the minimum standards for a certified police officer established under AS 18.65.240(a)(2), and AS 18.65.240(c), 13 AAC 85.010(a)(2), and 13 AAC 85.110(a)(3), and his police officer certificate should be revoked.

Further your affiant sayeth naught.

Laddie Shaw, Administrator

Alaska Police Standards Council

State of Hasku Husku Police Standards Council Pouch N - Janua, Huska 19511

STATE OF ALASKA

ALASKA POLICE STANDARDS COUNCIL

In the Matter of
RONALD H. WADE
Respondent.

No. APSC 92-05

ACCUSATION

Laddie Shaw, Administrator of the Police Standards Council, Department of Public Safety of the State of Alaska, moves to revoke the certificate of Ronald H. Wade, as a police officer pursuant to AS 18.65.240(a)(2), AS 18.65.240(c), AS 18.65.270, AS 44.62.330 et. seq., 13 AAC 85.010(a)(3), 13 AAC 85.110(a)(2), and 13 AAC 85.110(a)(3).

The Administrator alleges as follows:

- 1. On or about March 1, 1982, the respondent was hired as a police officer for the Anchorage Police Department.
- 2. On or about February 28, 1983, the respondent submitted an application to the Alaska Police Standards Council (APSC) for a police officer certificate.
- 3. On or about March 21, 1983, the respondent was certified as a police officer in the State of Alaska.
- 4. On or about March 14, 1984, a citizen's complaint was filed against Ronald Wade, alleging that Wade was engaging in intimate relationships with known prostitutes and providing information to these women disclosing the identity of Anchorage Police Department vice officers. These allegations were substantiated and resulted in a written reprimand against Wade, strongly admonishing Wade to refrain from personal involvements with prostitutes. The reprimand specifically indicated that additional violations of a similar nature would result in more severe disciplinary action, up to and including termination.
 - 5. On or about December 19, 1991, the Anchorage Police

l

'n

Department received a complaint alleging that the respondent, while in uniform and in a patrol vehicle, paid the complainant, a known prostitute, a sum of money for sex.

- 6. On or about February 18, 1992, a department internal investigation was completed and the conclusion of the investigating officer was that the respondent violated the following Anchorage Police Department regulations by engaging in sex with a known prostitute for pay while in police uniform, on duty and in a patrol vehicle. Anchorage Police Department Regulations 1.01.040B, 1.02.080, 1.02.030, 1.02.070, 1.03.065 and 1.02.000.
- 7. On or about March 4, 1992, the respondent was put on notice during a pre-termination hearing that it was the intent of the department to terminate his employment as a police officer.
- 8. On or about March 6, 1992, the respondent resigned his employment in lieu of termination.
- 9. On or about March 9, 1992, all of the alleged violations of Anchorage Police Department Regulations named in the complaint filed against the respondent were sustained.

COUNT I

as though set forth in detail. In addition, the Administrator alleges that the conduct described in paragraphs 4-8 demonstrates that the respondent lacks good moral character. The respondent therefore does not meet the minimum standards for a certified police officer established under AS 18.65.240(a)(2), 13 AAC 85.010(a)(3), and 13 AAC 85.110(a)(3), and his police officer certificate should be revoked.

COUNT II

11. Paragraphs 1 through 9 are incorporated by reference as though set forth in detail. In addition, the Administrator alleges that respondent resigned under threat of discharge for cause from employment as a police officer in Alaska, and

4 5

therefore, his police officer certificate should be revoked under AS 18.65.240(a)(2) and 13 AAC 85.110(a)(2).

WHEREFORE, the Administrator prays that Ronald H. Wade's certificate as a police officer in the State of Alaska be revoked.

DATED this 9th day of, August, 1993, at Juneau, Alaska.

Laddie Shaw, Administrator Alaska Police Standards Council