





DPS TRAINING BULLETIN

LEGAL BULLETIN NO. 108 February 21, 1987

(Cross-reference Legal Bulletin No. 95)

KNOWING AND INTELLIGENT WAIVER

OF MIRANDA BY JUVENILE

Reference: State of Alaska v. James A. Ridgely, Jr. Alaska Supreme Court Opinion 3156 P.2d February 13, 1987

FACTS:

The facts in this case are described in <u>Legal Bulletin No. 95--</u> <u>Ridgely, Plumbley and Bosch v. State</u>. You should read the facts outlined in <u>Legal Bulletin No. 95</u> and indicate on your copy, "Case was <u>REVERSED</u>--Refer to <u>Legal Bulletin No. 108</u>."

The Court of Appeals upheld convictions of Plumley and Bosch, but reversed as to Ridgely's decision that he did not make a "knowing and intelligent waiver of his rights" making the confession involuntary. The State appealed to the Supreme Court and the Court of Appeals' ruling was reversed.

The Alaska Supreme Court found that Ridgely made a knowing and intelligent waiver of his <u>Miranda</u> rights making his confession voluntary. Police also scrupulously honored his request for an attorney.

REASONING:

The Supreme Court stated the trial judge's findings of fact were amply supported by the record, as follows:

- "1. Ridgely was not under the influence of drugs or suffering from lack of sleep 'to the extent that (he was) impaired in understanding what was occurring or in asserting (his) rights on August 22.'
 - 2. Ridgely was not under the influence of alcohol.
 - 3. Ridgely was advised of his <u>Miranda</u> rights when he was taken into custody on August 22 and when he was interviewed later that day and the following day.
 - 4. The officers 'assiduously respected Ridgely's rights.'

Legal Bulletin No. 108 (X-ref. No.95) February 21, 1987

- 5. Ridgely asked to have his father present and his father was present during 'all critical stages on August 22.' The father was also present when Ridgely was interviewed on August 23.
- 6. The officers 'did not enlist nor seek the assistance of Ridgely's father in their interrogation. Mr. Ridgely, Sr. . . did not act as an agent of the police.' "

The mere fact that a person is under the age of majority does not automatically render that person incapable of making a knowing and voluntary waiver.

When Ridgely said he wanted a lawyer, the interview ended.